

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/699,108	MOU, HENSEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

(2) Elise R. Heibrunn.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18-20, 22, 24, 26, 29, 32, 34-36, and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The claims are amended as set forth in the examiner's amendment to clearly distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art and to correct the informality in the claims. Claims 20 and 34-36 are cancelled by the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)